

### primed

### Presenter Disclosure Information

The following relationships exist related to this presentation:

Karol Watson, MD, PhD, FACC: Consultant for Daiichi Sankyo; Merck & Co., Inc.; and Quest Diagnostics.

### **Off-Label/Investigational Discussion**

In accordance with pmiCME policy, faculty have been asked to disclose discussion of unlabeled or unapproved use(s) of drugs or devices during the course of their presentations.

# Cardiovascular Prevention Guidelines

2015

Karol E. Watson, MD, PhD, FACC Professor of Medicine/Cardiology David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA Co-director, UCLA Program in Preventive Cardiology

### CASE

 A 61 year-old man with hypertension presents as a new patient. He hasn't seen a doctor regularly, but is now worried because his "best friend just dropped dead of a heart attack." He feels well and has begun drinking wine because he heard it's "good for your heart," but he wonders what else he should be doing to protect his heart.

> **Current medications**: Lisinopril 10 mg daily Aspirin 81 mg daily

Pertinent physical exam findings: BP-149/82, BMI-34.9

Pertinent lab findings: LDL-115, HbA1c-6.1

### History of NHLBI CVD Adult Clinical Prevention Guidelines

In 2013 the NHLBI decided to get out of the guidelines writing business, so turned all guidelines over to the professional organizations: ACC / AHA and Obesity Society

**HYPERTENSION** 

2014 Evidence-Based Guideline for the Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: Report From the Panel Members Appointed to the **Eighth Joint National Committee** (JNC 8)

JAMA, Published online December 18, 2013, doi:10.1001/iama.2013.284427

 RCTs
 conducted 1966 to present
 Minimum 1-year follow-up period Important to Note... Sample size > 100 • JNC 7 was "The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure" JNC 8 is the "2014 Evidence-Based Guideline for the Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults'

- In JNC 8 they give 9 Evidence based Recommendations
- "... these recommendations are not a substitute for clinical judgment, and decisions about care must carefully consider and incorporate the clinical characteristics and circumstances of each individual patient.'



### JNC 8 in a nutshell

- · Ease up on hypertension treatment in older adults (Adults 60 and older) BP goal in older adults < 150/80)
- In all others blood pressure goal < 140/90</li> - Including those with diabetes and CKD
- Initial antihypertensive therapy can be a thiazide-type diuretic, CCB, ACE-I, or ARB
  - In black patients initial therapy should be with a CCB or thiazide-type diuretic
- . In adults with CKD, make sure an ACE-I or an ARB is part of the antihypertensive regimen
- Don't dilly dally! If goal not reached within a month, make a change!

JAMA. Published online December 18, 2013. doi:10.1001/iama.2013.284427



CASE

· A 61 year-old man with hypertension presents as a new patient. He hasn't seen a doctor regularly, but is now worried because his "best friend just dropped dead from a heart attack." He feels well and has begun drinking wine because he heard it is "good for your heart," but he wonders what else he should be doing to protect his heart.

> Current medications: Lisinopril 10 mg daily Aspirin 81 mg daily

Pertinent physical exam findings: BP-149/82 BMI-34.9

Pertinent lab findings: LDL-115, HbA1c-6.1

### Not even all JNC 8 Authors Agreed with easing up at age 60

14 January 2014 Evidence Supporting a Systolic Blood Pressure Goal of Less Than 150 mm Hg in Patients Aged 60 Years or Older: The Minority View

Jackson T. Wright Jr., MD, PhD; Lawrence J. Fine, MD, DrPH; Daniel T. Lackland, PhD; Gbenga Ogedegbe, MD, MPH, MS; and Cheryl R. Dennison Himmelfarb, PhD, RN, ANP

### "Landmark NIH study shows intensive blood pressure management may save lives"

- "More intensive management of high blood pressure... significantly reduces rates of cardiovascular disease, and lowers risk of death in a group of adults 50 years and older"
- · This is according to initial results of the NIH sponsored Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial (SPRINT).
- "The intervention in this trial...a target systolic pressure of 120 mm Hg, reduced rates of cardiovascular events...by almost a third and the risk of death by almost a quarter, as compared to the target systolic pressure of 140 mm Hg".

NHLBI press release September 10, 2015

### **CHOLESTEROL**



• A 61 year-old man with hypertension presents as a new

Triglycerides - 200 mg/dL

CASE

BP-149/82, BMI-34.9

Pertinent lab findings: LDL-115, HbA1c-6.1



Neil J. Stone, MD, MACP, FAHA, FACC, *Chair* Jennifer Robinson, D, MPH, FAHA, *Vice Chair* Alice H. Lichtenstein, DSc, FAHA, *Vice Chair* 

Anne C. Goldberg, MD, FACP, FAHA Conrad B. Blum, MD, FAHA Robert H. Eckel, MD, FAHA Daniel Levy, MD\* David Gordon, MD\* C. Noel Bairey Merz, MD, FAHA, FACC Donald M. Lloyd-Jones, MD, ScM, FACC, FAHA J. Sanford Schwartz, MD Patrick McBride, MD, MPH, FAHA Sidney C. Smith, Jr, MD, FACC, FAHA Karol Watson, MD, PhD, FACC, FAHA Sursan T. Shern MS, RN<sup>+</sup> Susan T. Shero, MS, RN\* Peter W.F. Wilson, MD, FAHA



Otatin Effects on Major Vacaular					
Statin Effects on Major Vascular					
Events	All fixed dose trials				
	NONE were titration trials				
Endnaint	Eve	ents (%)		Poto Potio (CI)	
Enapoint	freatment	Control	: 1	Rate Ratio (CI)	
Non-fatal MI	2001 (4.4)	2769 (6-2)		0.74(0.70 - 0.79)	
CHD death	1548 (3.4)	1960 (4.4)		0.81 (0.75 - 0.87)	
Any major coronary event	3337 (7·4)	4420 (9·8)	4	0.77 (0.74 - 0.80)	
CABG	713 (3-3)	1006 (4.7)	- d - L	0.75(0.69 - 0.82)	
PTCA	510 (2.4)	658 (3·1)	-d-	0.79(0.69 - 0.90)	
Unspecified	1397 (3·1)	1770 `		0.76 (0.69 - 0.84)	
Any coronary revascularisat	ion 2620 (5·8)	3434 (7·6)	4	0.76 (0.73 - 0.80)	
Haemorrhagic stroke	105 (0.2)	99 (0·2)		1.05 (0.78 – 1.41)	
Presumed ischaemic stroke	1235 (2.8)	1518 (3.4)	(D	0.81 (0.74 - 0.89)	
Any stroke	1340 (3·0)	1617 (3·7)	⊅	0.83 (0.78 - 0.88)	
Any major vascular event	6354 (14·1)	7994 (17·8)	÷	0.79 (0.77 – 0.81)	
		0.5	5 1.0	0 1.5	
CTT. Lancet 2008 371: 117-125		Fa	vors st	atin	



# ASCVD Risk Estimator

•Age •Race •Total Cholesterol •HDL Cholesterol •Systolic BP •Treatment for BP? •Diabetes •Smoking

http://www.apple.com/itunes/affiliates/download/?id=808875968

Or just google: "ASCVD risk calculator"

### Age provides an integrated estimate of lifetime exposure to risk factors



# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text>

The controversy set off turmoil at the annual meeting of the <u>American Heart Association</u>, which started this weekend in

### General Principles of the Guidelines NO MORE LDL GOALS

- All adults should adhere to a healthy lifestyle.
- Statin therapy is recommended for adults in groups demonstrated to benefit.
- Current evidence is inadequate to support treatment to specific LDL-C and/or non-HDL-C goals.
- Initiate the appropriate intensity of statin therapy

Stone NJ et al. Circulation. 2014;129:S1-45.

Intensity of Statin Therapy			
High-Intensity Statin Therapy	Moderate-Intensity Statin Therapy	Low-Intensity Statin Therapy	
Daily dose lowers LDL-C on average, by approximately ≥50%	Daily dose lowers LDL-C on average, by approximately 30% to <50%	Daily dose lowers LDL- C on average, by <30%	
	Atorvastatin 10 (20) mg		
	Rosuvastatin (5) 10 mg	Simvastatin 10 mg	
	Simvastatin 20-40 mg‡	Pravastatin 10-20	
Atorvastatin (40†)-80 mg	Pravstatin 40 (80) mg	mg	
Rosuvasatin 20 (40) mg	Lovastatin 40 mg	Lovastatin 20 mg	
	Fluvastatin XL 80 mg	Fluvastatin 20-40 mg	
	Fluvastatin 40 mg bid	Pitavastatin 1 mg	
	Pitavastatin 2-4 mg		

# Common Misconceptions About the Cholesterol Guidelines

- All patients with a 10-year CVD risk of ≥ 7.5% must be treated with a statin
- Guidelines emphasize patient-provider discussion
- There is no longer a role for rechecking lipids

   Lipids should be re-checked at 3-12 weeks to verify therapeutic response and monitor adherence; Re-checks should occur q 3-12 months
- There is no role for non-statin therapy – There remains a role for add-on therapy at
- provider's discretion in higher risk patients

  The new risk estimator abandons Framingham
- The new risk estimator includes Framingham along with 3 other cohorts that add power and diversity
   Adapted from Blaha and Wong. FEBRUARY 05, 2014 Cardiosouro



### CASE

 A 61 year-old man with hypertension presents as a new patient. He hasn't seen a doctor regularly, but is now worried because his "best friend just dropped dead from a heart attack." He feels well and has begun drinking wine because he heard it is "good for your heart," but he wonders what else he should be doing to protect his heart.

> **Current medications**: Lisinopril 10 mg daily Aspirin 81 mg daily

Pertinent physical exam findings: BP-149/82 BMI-34.9

Pertinent lab findings: LDL-115, HbA1c-6.1





### 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults

Endorsed by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, American Pharmacists Association, American Society for Nutrition, American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition, American Society for Preventive Cardiology, American Society of Hypertension, Association of Black Cardiologists, National Lipid Association, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, The Endocrine Society, and WomenHeart: The National Coalition for Women with Heart Disease

© American College of Cardiology Foundation and American Heart Association, Inc.

Jensen MD, et al. Guidelines (2013) for managing overweight and obesity in adults. *Obesity* 2014;22(S2):S1-S410.

### For Weight Loss

• Assess

- Weight, BMI, Waist circumference
- Advise
  - Sustained weight loss of even 3%–5% is likely to result in clinically meaningful benefit
- Assist
  - a. Prescribe 1,200–1,500 kcal/d for women; 1,500–1,800 kcal/d for men
  - b. Prescribe one of the evidence-based diets (such as low-carbohydrate, high-fiber, or low-fat)
  - c. a comprehensive lifestyle program including physical activity Jensen MD. et al. Guidelines (2013) for manading overweight and obe
    - Jensen MD, et al. Guidelines (2013) for managing overweight and obesity in adults. Obesity 2014;22(S2):S1-S410.

### For Weight Maintenance

- Assess
  - monitor body weight regularly (at least weekly)
  - Regular contact (at least monthly)
- Advise
  - Regular physical activity (i.e., 200-300 min/wk),
  - consume a reduced-calorie diet (needed to maintain lower body weight).
- Assist
  - Trained interventionist

Jensen MD, et al. Guidelines (2013) for managing overweight and obesity in adults. Obesity 2014;22(S2):S1-S410.

### Obesity guidelines Diet Recommendation

Prescribe a diet to achieve **reduced calorie intake** for obese or overweight individuals who would benefit from weight loss, as part of a comprehensive lifestyle intervention. Any one of the following methods can be used to reduce food and calorie intake:

- Prescribe 1,200–1,500 kcal/d for women and 1,500– 1,800 kcal/d for men (kilocalorie levels are usually adjusted for the individual's body weight);
- Prescribe a 500-kcal/d or 750-kcal/d energy deficit; or
   Prescribe one of the evidence-based diets that restricts certain food types (such as high-carbohydrate foods, low-fiber foods, or high-fat foods) in order to create an energy deficit by reduced food intake.

Jensen MD, et al. Guidelines (2013) for managing overweight and obesity in adults. Obesity 2014;22(S2):S1-S410

# Obesity guidelines- Pharmacotherapy Recommendation

- Conspicuous by its absence from the guidelines, is pharmacotherapy.
- When the obesity guidelines were developed, the only medications that were FDA approved for weight loss were sibutramine and orlistat, with sibutramine having since been taken off the market.
- The writing group included pharmacotherapies for obesity based on expert opinion
- Pharmacotherapy is recommended for patients who are unable to achieve and sustain weight loss with comprehensive lifestyle alone. If they are not effective in for a given patient's weight loss, they should not be continued.

### Obesity guidelines- Surgery Recommendation

Advise adults with a BMI  $\geq$ 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or BMI  $\geq$ 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with obesity-related comorbid conditions who are motivated to lose weight and who have not responded to behavioral treatment with or without pharmacotherapy with sufficient weight loss to achieve targeted health outcome goals that bariatric surgery may be an appropriate option ...

Jensen MD, et al. Guidelines (2013) for managing overweight and obesity in adults. Obesity 2014;22(S2):S1-S410.

### Weight regulation in Humans

- The human body is hardwired to know how many fat cells are on board and to keep body weight stable
- At ~ 5% to 10% weight loss the human body responds by:
  - Lowering metabolic rate (more than 5%-10%)
  - Lowering the hormones that signal satiety after eating
  - Increase thoughts and hormones to make humans seek out and eat more food
  - All as part of a defense of body weight to survive
    - This does not change with time (always trying to get back to that highest weight)

Sumithran P et al. N Engl J Med. 2011;365:1597-1604

### CASE

### Recommendations

- 1. Daily walking for exercise
- 2. Calorie reduced diet of his choice
- 3. Referral to our weight loss center
- 4. Screening for diabetes
- 5. Increase Lisinopril to 20 mg daily
- 6. Continue Aspirin 81 mg daily
- 7. Begin Atorvastatin 40 mg daily

### The Safety of Statins

- Liver
- Muscle
- Cognitive Effects
- •Cancer
- Diabetes

### FDA: Liver Injury Called Rare

February 2012

- In early clinical trials LFT elevations were seen, so health care providers were advised to regularly follow LFTs. However, the FDA found that statin liver damage is rare, and LFTs are not effective at predicting or preventing this rare side effect.
- "So FDA is now recommending that LFTs be performed before statin treatment begins and then as needed if there are symptoms of liver damage."

www.fda.gov/ ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates

## The Safety of Statins

- Liver
- Muscle
- Cognitive Effects
- Cancer
- Diabetes

Statin Muscle Adverse Effects			
Muscle AE	Incidence above placebo (per 100,000)		
Myalgias	1,500 to 10,000		
Myopathy (Sx + CK)	5		
Rhabdomyolysis	1.6		
Adapted from Law M, Rudnicka AR. Am J Cardiol. 2006;97:52C-60C			

### Statin related Muscle Adverse Effects

- In randomized trials, myalgia is reported in < 5% of patients in both the statin and placebo arms.
- Clinical experience suggests closer to 10%.
- •Myopathy and rhabdomyolysis are associated with statin therapy, as a class effect
- •This risk increases with increased statin dose and with drug-drug interactions
- Prior to initiating statins, patients need to know to promptly report any unexplained muscle pain and/or weakness

.McKenney JM et al. Am J Cardiol. 2006;97:89C-94C.

# Factors That Increase the Risk of Statin-Induced Myopathy

High systemic exposure		
In average of stars		
Increased dose		
High bioavailability		
Limited protein binding		
Potential for drug-drug interactions metabolized by CYP pathways (particularly CYP450 3A4)		

# The Safety of Statins

- Liver
- Muscle
- Cognitive Effects
- Cancer
- Diabetes



### HPS – Results of Testing for Neuropsychiatric Disorders

20,536 adults (aged 40-80 years) with atherosclerotic disease or diabetes were randomized to 40 mg simvastatin daily or matching placebo for 5 years. No effect on cognition

Measure	Simvastatin	Placebo	
Cognitively Impaired*	23.7%	24.2%	
Dementia	0.3%	0.3%	
Psychiatric Disorder	0.7%	0.7%	
Suicide	0.1%	0.1%	
Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. Lancet. 2002;360:7-2			

804 elderly (> 70 yo) ng of pravastatin daily lo effect on cognition	patients were randomized to 4 or matching placebo for 5 yea
Measure	Pravastatin - Placebo
Number of correct letter digits recalled	-0.01 (-0.24-0.23) p=0.95
Number of words Remembered	+0.02 (-0.12-0.16) p=0.80
Time needed to complete Stroop test	+0.8 s (-0.4-2.0) p=0.19
MMSE score	+0.06 (-0.04-0.16) p=0.26

**PROSPER – Results of Testing** 

### FDA Investigating Reports of Memory Loss

### February 2012

- Rare post-marketing reports of cognitive impairment (e.g., memory loss, forgetfulness, amnesia, memory impairment, confusion) associated with statin use.
- These reported symptoms are generally not serious and reversible upon statin discontinuation, with variable times to symptom onset (1 day to years) and symptom resolution (median of 3 weeks).

www.fda.gov/ ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates

# The Safety of Statins

- Liver
- Muscle
- Cognitive Effects
- Cancer
- Diabetes

### Effects on CANCER incidence per mmol/L LDL cholesterol by year

	Even	its (%)		
	Treatment	Control		Rate Ratio (CI)
0-1 year	412 (1·0)	441 (1·1)		0.95 (0.81 – 1.12)
1-2 years	532 (1·4)	513 (1·3)		1.03 (0.89 – 1.20)
2-3 years	512 (1·4)	514 (1·4)		0.99 (0.85 – 1.15)
3-4 years	494 (1·4)	476 (1·4)	-1-	1.00 (0.86 – 1.16)
4-5 years	384 (1·3)	374 (1·3)	-D-	1.02 (0.86 – 1.21)
5+ years	233 (1·3)	218 (1·2)	— <u> </u>	1.05 (0.84 – 1.32)
All times	2567 (6·4)	2536 (6·4)	$\diamond$	1.00 (0.95 –1.06)
				Effect p = 0.9
			0.5 1·0 1.5 Treatment Control Better Better	
Cholesterol Trea	tmont Trialiete I and	cot 2005 366: 1	267-1134	

# The Safety of Statins

- Liver
- Muscle
- Cognitive Effects
- Cancer
- Diabetes

# Association between statins and development of diabetes Statin Odds ratio (95% CI)

Overall (n=91 140)	1.09 (1.02–1.17)
Atorvastatin only (n=7773)	1.14 (0.89–1.46)
Simvastatin only (n=18 815)	1.11 (0.97–1.26)
Rosuvastatin only (n=24 714)	1.18 (1.04–1.33)
Pravastatin (n=33 627)	1.03 (0.90–1.19)
Lovastatin (n=6211)	0.98 (0.70–1.38)

Sattar N et al. Lancet 2010;375:735-42.

# FDA reports on the Risk of Diabetes with statins

### February 2012

- A small increased risk of elevated blood sugar levels and the development of Type 2 diabetes have been reported with the use of statins.
- "Clearly we think that the heart benefit of statins outweighs this small increased risk" But bloodsugar levels may need to be assessed after instituting statin therapy.

www.fda.gov/ ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates

### Statins

- •Statins are the most efficacious LDL-C lowering drugs and have the greatest CV risk reduction
- •Liver damage due to statins is extremely rare
- •Statins can produce muscle pain and weakness, but the risk of true rhabdomyolysis is very small
- •Clinical trials have not found evidence of cancer or cognitive impairment with statins
- •Statins can increase blood glucose levels minimally leading to increased reports of diabetes
- •In patients at high CVD risk, the benefits of statins far outweigh the risks